

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.usplo.gov

APPLICATION NO). F	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
10/824,797	10/824,797 04/15/2004		Masayuki Satake	UNIU79.023AUS	6655
20995	7590	09/20/2006		EXAMINER	
		NS OLSON & BEA	BASHORE, ALAIN L		
2040 MAIN STREET FOURTEENTH FLOOR				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
IRVINE,	RVINE, CA 92614			1762	
				DATE MAILED: 09/20/2006	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)					
	10/824,797	SATAKE ET AL.					
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit					
	Alain L. Bashore	1762					
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the	correspondence address					
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDO	ON. timely filed om the mailing date of this communication. NED (35 U.S.C. § 133).					
Status							
1)⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 26 Ju	ıne 2006.						
· <u> </u>	action is non-final.						
3) Since this application is in condition for allowar	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is						
closed in accordance with the practice under E	x parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11,	453 O.G. 213.					
Disposition of Claims							
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>9 and 18-29</u> is/are pending in the app	lication.						
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.							
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>9 and 18-29</u> is/are rejected.	•						
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.							
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	r election requirement.						
Application Papers							
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine	r.						
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acce	epted or b) objected to by the	e Examiner.					
Applicant may not request that any objection to the	drawing(s) be held in abeyance. S	See 37 CFR 1.85(a).					
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correcti	•	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •					
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	aminer. Note the attached Office	ce Action or form PTO-152.					
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119							
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of:		(a)-(d) or (f).					
1. Certified copies of the priority documents		N					
2. Certified copies of the priority documents	• •						
 Copies of the certified copies of the prior application from the International Bureau 	*	ved in this National Stage					
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	ved.					
Attachment(s)							
1)	4) Ll Interview Summa Paper No(s)/Mail						
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)	5) 🔲 Notice of Informa						
Paper No(s)/Mail Date	6)						

DETAILED ACTION

Continued Examination Under 37 CFR 1.114

A request for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, including the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e), was filed in this application after final rejection. The application is eligible for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, and the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e) has been timely paid.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

1. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

2. Claim9 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

The recitation of "improving display-quality" is considered vauge and indefinite, because what is "quality" to one may not be "quality" to another. The term "improving" is also indefinite because on parameters are given by such definition.

Application/Control Number: 10/824,797 Page 3

Art Unit: 1762

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

4. Claims 9, 18-29 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Mukunoki in view of Muys further in view of Mikura.

Mukunoki discloses an antistatic layer laminated on and in contact with at least one side of the optical film (may be on the surface layer or the inner layer, column 12, lines 39-40), wherein the antistatic layer comprises a water soluble or a water dispersible conductive polymer, such as polyaniline and polythiophene (column 12, lines 46-53) as defined by Applicant's specification (original claim 2). Mukunoki teaches that the antistatic layer has a surface resistance value as claimed by applicant (column 12, lines 39-42). The optical film comprises a polarizing plate (column 12, lines 31-35, film, column 19, lines 35-40), and that an activation treatment is given to the optical film (surface treatment to improve adhesion, column 12, lines 3-10).

Mukunoki fails to teach a method of manufacturing the antistatic optical film comprising the steps of applying an aqueous solution or an aqueous dispersion comprising the water soluble or water dispersible conductive polymer on the optical film;

Art Unit: 1762

and drying to form the antistatic layer; let alone that the water dispersible polymer is constituted by micro-particles having a size of 1 gm or less.

However, Muys teaches a method comprising the steps of applying an aqueous dispersion of polythiophene (column 12, lines 34-40) on the optical film (polyethylene terephthalate film support, column 13, lines 1-2), and drying to form the antistatic layer (column 13, line 7); and that the water-dispersible polythiophene is constituted by microparticles having the size of 1 or less (column 5, lines 29-32), for the purpose of providing the desired coating properties.

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to have manufactured the optical film of Mukunoki, by a method comprising the steps of applying an aqueous solution or an aqueous dispersion comprising the water soluble or water dispersible conductive polymer on the optical film; and drying to form the antistatic layer; and to have provided the water dispersible polymer in the form of micro-padicles having a size of 1 gm or less, in order to provide the desired coating properties, as taught by Muys.

Mukunoki fails to teaches that the adhesive layer is pressure sensitive, let alone that it is acrylic.

However, Mikura teaches that it is well known in the prior art to use an acrylic pressure-sensitive adhesive layer on an optical base film to attach to a liquid crystal cell, for the purpose improving the efficiency of the display assembling and preventing the occurrence of dispersion of quality (column 1, lines 14-24).

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made, to have used an acas the adhesive layer laminated on another side of a surface having the optical film of the antistatic layer of Mukunoki, in order to improve the efficiency of the display assembly and to prevent any dispersion in display quality, as taught by Mikura.

Regarding claim 26, Mukunoki fails to that a surface material of the optical film on which the antistatic layer is laminated is a polycarbonate.

However, Mikura teaches that a transparent protective layer excellent in transparency (column 3, lines 65-67), mechanical strength, heat stability and moisture-shielding property is made from polycarbonate (column 4, lines 1-5) for the purpose of providing the desired properties.

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made, to have used a polycarbonate as the surface material of the optical film of Mukunoki, in order to provide the desired mechanical strength, heat Stability and moisture-shielding property, as taught by Mikura.

Application/Control Number: 10/824,797 Page 6

Art Unit: 1762

Conclusion

5. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Alain L. Bashore whose telephone number is 571-272-6739. The examiner can normally be reached on about 7:30 am to 5:00 pm (Mon. thru Thurs.).

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Timothy Meeks can be reached on 571-272-1423. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Alain L. Bashore Primary Examiner Art Unit 1762